

तारीख हुक्म	हुक्म या कार्यवाही मय इनिशियल्स जज I. Revision No. 3581/2003/TA/Hanumangarh : Devilal Vs. Kuldeep II. Revision No. 3638/2003/TA/Hanumangarh : Devilal Vs. Kuldeep	नम्बर व तारीख अहकाम जो इस हुक्म की तामील में जारी हुए
9.12.2019	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Single Bench</u> Shikhar Agrawal, Member</p> <p><u>Present:</u> Shri Amrit Pal Singh: counsel for petitioner. Shri Manish Pandiya : Briefholder of counsel for non-petitioners. - - -</p> <p style="text-align: center;">These are two revisions under section 230 of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 challenging the order dated 29.11.2001 and dated 17.6.2003 of Assistant Collector, Sangariya.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned advocate for the petitioner has argued that learned Assistant Collector, Sangariya has made Nana the guardian without giving any opportunity of being heard and by order dated 17.6.2003, has dismissed the application under Order 7 Rule 11 in a very summary way. He has argued that both these orders need to be set aside and case needs to be remanded for fresh hearing in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned advocate for the non-petitioners has opposed this prayer and has stated that the order passed by the learned Assistant Collector, Sangariya is just & proper given the circumstances of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">I have heard the learned advocates from both sides and have carefully perused the case record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">I find it a fit case to be remanded so that principles of natural justice are followed. The orders dated 29.11.2001 and dated 17.6.2003 of Assistant Collector, Sangariya are set aside. He is expected to hear all concerned and decide both the issues within next three months. Both the revisions are accepted accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pronounced.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Shikhar Agrawal) Member</p>	