

ख्तारीख हुक्म	हुक्म या कार्यवाही मय इनिशियल्स जज। <b>Appeal Decree/TA/1113/2005/Chittorgarh</b>	नम्बर व तारीख अहकाम जो इस हुक्म की तामील में जारी हुए
01-10-19	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>D.B.</u></b> <b>Shri Shikhar Agarwal, Member</b> <b>Shri Surendra Maheshwari, Member</b></p> <p><u>Present:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Shri P.S.Dashora, Counsel for the appellant</li> <li>2. Shri Rajendra Prasad Sharma, Counsel for the respondent No.1</li> <li>3. Shri S.Pal Singh, Counsel for the respondents No.2 to 5.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">*****</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>JUDGMENT</u></b></p> <p>This second appeal under Section 224 of the Rajasthan Tenancy Act, 1955 against the order of the Revenue Appellate Authority, Chittorgarh, dated 20-1-2005 by which he has quashed the order of S.D.O. Chittorgarh dated 21.11.2002.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>2. The basic issue involved in this case is whether it is essential to give notice under Section 271 of Nagar Palika Act, 1959 onwards.</li> <li>3. We have heard the counsel of both the sides, carefully perused the record and material available before us. After hearing learned advocates, we are of the opinion that the Revenue Appellate Authority, shall carefully read the provisions of Municipality Act, 1959 specially Section 271(3) and then decide this case.</li> <li>4. The order dated 20-1-2003 of the Revenue Appellate Authority, Chittorgarh is accordingly quashed and the case is remanded to Revenue Appellate Authority, Chittorgarh to hear and decide the case afresh.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Pronounced.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Surendra Maheshwari)</b> <b>Member</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Shikhar Agarwal)</b> <b>Member</b></p>	