


फर्द अहकाम

कार्यालय जिला मजिस्ट्रेट, राजमहल
 प्रार्थी दोगलाल विपक्षी जोर सिंह व शैल
 किसम मुकदमा प्राथमिक पत्रावली पत्रावली संख्या 77/2019 पद

क्रमांक	कार्यवाहिक विवरण	हस्ताक्षर पार्टी तथा सूचनाएं जारी की गईं
---------	------------------	--

19-12-19
 अति. प्रार्थी उप. अग्रार्थी सं-02 की ओर से अति. पी. जे. मल्ल गजरी उप. अग्रार्थी सं-02, 03, 04 को जाली नोटिस बाइ तालिम के द्वारा अग्रार्थी सं-02, 3, 4 उप. उमग्रपत्र के अति. की वदल खुनी गयी।
 असापता वदल आदेश दि. 9-1-20 को फेर दो।


 ज. ज. मल्ल
 (जाली नोटिस)

M

09/01/20-19
 The scope of review is very limited. It is only for errors or mistakes which are apparent on the face of record. Its not a method for re-examination of a judgement.

Hon'ble Supreme Court in
Smt Meera Bhanja Vs Nirmala Kumari Chaudhary
 AIR 1995 SC Page 455 clearly held that
 the error apparent on the face of record should be such which should strike immediately looking at the face of record and which does not require any process of reasoning or examination of law.

फर्द अहकाम

कार्यालय.....

प्रार्थी.....

विपक्षी.....

किस्म मुकदमा.....

पत्रावली संख्या.....

पद.....

क्रमांक	कार्यवाहिक विवरण	हस्ताक्षर पार्टी तथा सूचनाएं जारी की गई
	<p>In <u>Ajit Kumarz Rath Vs Orissa State AIR 2000 SC 85</u>, the apex court had held that power of review is not absolute and is subject to restrictions indicated in O47 CPC. A review can not be claimed as a remedy for a fresh hearing or correction of an erroneous view taken earlier.</p> <p>But in this case, the objection raised by appellant do not any impact on merit of case and only are technical in nature. So in view of the above the review application is not maintainable & hence rejected.</p>	
		<p style="text-align: right;">Aol 09/01/2019.</p>